



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,154 07/14/2003		James Patrick Griffin JR.	91233.069703	6780	
44331	7590 10/08/2004		EXAMINER		
HISCOCK & BARCLAY, LLP 2000 HSBC PLAZA			STRIMBU, GREGORY J		
ROCHESTER, NY 14604-2404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3634		
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summany		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/619,15	4	GRIFFIN, JAMES	PATRICK	5			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		·			
		Gregory J.		3634					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation appro	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)∏ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
· <u> </u>									
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4; 5)□ C 6)□ C 7)□ C	•								
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)[] T	he specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
	))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	applicant may not request that any objection to the								
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre he oath or declaration is objected to by the I	•	•						
, —	,	Examiner: 140		7.00.011 01 1011111	102.				
12) A a) 1 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	nts have beer nts have beer iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s	s)								
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	98)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		O-152)	- 4			

Application/Control Number: 10/619,154 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-27, drawn to a security device, classified in class 049, subclass
 460.

Claim 28, drawn to a method of retrofitting a door and a door frame,
 classified in class 049, subclass 506.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as one that does not include the step affixing an elongated frame plate to the corresponding vertical portion of the door frame. See claims 18 and 28.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group III Figures 2-3

Group IV Figure 4

Group V Figure 5

Group VI Figure 6

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 5-claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-14, 18-21, 23-26 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,154 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

A telephone call was made to Susan J. Timian on September 28, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

September 28, 2004